arXiv:1510.02652v1 [math.CV] 9 Oct 2015

NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND ANALYTIC FUNCTION SPACES

HAO LI AND SONGXIAO LI*

Abstract. In this paper we consider the nonlinear complex differential equation

$$(f^{(k)})^{n_k} + A_{k-1}(z)(f^{(k-1)})^{n_{k-1}} + \cdots + A_1(z)(f')^{n_1} + A_0(z)f^{n_0} = 0,$$

where $A_j(z)$, $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, $n_j \in R^+$ for all $j = 0, \cdots, k$. We investigate this nonlinear differential equation from two aspects. On one hand, we provide some sufficient conditions on coefficients such that all solutions of this equation belong to a class of Möbius invariant function space, the so-called Q_K space. On the other hand, we find some growth estimates for the analytic solutions of this equation if the coefficients belong to some analytic function spaces.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let $g(a,z) = -\log|\varphi_a(z)|$ be the Green function on $\mathbb{D}$ with logarithmic singularity at $a \in \mathbb{D}$, where $\varphi_a(z) = (a-z)/(1-\overline{a}z)$ is the Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$. Denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. For $s > 0$, the Bloch-type space, denoted by $\mathfrak{B}^s$, consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}^s} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)^s < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

When $s = 1$, we get the classical Bloch space $\mathfrak{B}$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{B}^{s_1} \subsetneq \mathfrak{B} \subsetneq \mathfrak{B}^{s_2}$ for $0 < s_1 < 1 < s_2 < \infty$. See [21] for the theory of Bloch-type spaces.

For $0 \leqslant s < \infty$, $0 < t < \infty$, the weighted Hardy space, denoted by H^t_s, consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{H^t_s} = \sup_{0\leqslant r<1} (1-r^2)^s \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^t d\varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

The Bers-type space, denoted by H^∞_s, consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^\infty_s} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^s |f(z)| < \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34M10, 30D35 .

Keywords: Nonlinear differential equation, growth estimate, Bloch space, Q_K space.

*Corresponding author.

It is easy to check that H^∞_s is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^\infty_s}$. The Proposition 7 in [21] gives that $\mathfrak{B}^{s+1} = H^\infty_s$ for $s > 0$.

For a nondecreasing function $K : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, the Q_K space consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|^2_{Q_K} = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(g(a, z))d\sigma(z) < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $d\sigma$ is an area measure on $\mathbb{D}$ normalized such that $\sigma(\mathbb{D}) = 1$. When $K(t) = t^p$, then Q_K space coincides with the Q_p space. For all $p > 1$, the Q_p space is equal to the Bloch space $\mathfrak{B}$. The authors in [19] proved that $Q_K \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$, and hence we obtain that $Q_K \subsetneq H^\infty_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$.

The growth of solutions of the linear differential equation

$$f^{(k)} + A_{k-1}(z)f^{(k-1)} + \cdots + A_1(z)f' + A_0(z)f = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

with analytic coefficients has attracted a lot of attention, see for example, [1–10, 12–18]. Ch. Pommerenke [17] studied the second-order equation

$$f'' + A(z)f = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

where $A(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$. He found some sufficient conditions on the coefficient function $A(z)$ such that all solutions of (1.6) are in H^2. Heittokangas in [6] found a sufficient condition on the coefficient function $A(z)$ such that all solutions of (1.6) are in $\cap_{0<p<\infty} Q_p$. In 2011, Li and Wulan in [16] studied the equation (1.5) and gave the sufficient conditions on coefficients of (1.5) such that all solutions of (1.5) belong to the Q_K space. The main results are stated as follows.

Theorem A ([16, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let $1 < c < 3/2$ and let K satisfy*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\varphi_K(s)}{s^{2c-1}} ds < \infty, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\varphi_K(s) = \sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1} K(st)/K(t)$, $0 < s < \infty$. There exists a constant $\alpha = \alpha(k, c, K) > 0$ such that if the coefficients of (1.5) *satisfy the following*

$$\|A_j\|_{H^\infty_{k-j}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_j(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^{k-j} \leqslant \alpha,\ j = 1, \cdots, k - 1,$$

and

$$\|A_0\|_{H^\infty_{k-c}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_0(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^{k-c} \leqslant \alpha,$$

then all solutions of (1.5) *belong to the Q_K space.*

Theorem B ([16, Theorem 2.6])**.** *Let the nondecreasing function K satisfy*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi_K(s)}{s} ds < \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

There exists a constant $\beta = \beta(k, K) > 0$ such that if the coefficients of (1.5) *satisfy*

$$\|A_j\|_{H^\infty_{k-j}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_j(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{k-j} \leqslant \beta, \;\; j = 1, \cdots, k-1,$$

and

$$\|A_0\|_{H^\infty_{k-1}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_0(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{k-1} \leqslant \beta,$$

then all solutions of (1.5) *belong to the Q_K space.*

In 2004, Heittokangas, Korhonen and Rättyä in [7] studied the equation (1.5), and gave the estimate of solutions of (1.5) by using the Herold's comparison theorem (see [11]).

Theorem C ([7, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Let f be a solution of* (1.5) *in the disc $D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$, $0 < R < \infty$, let $n_c \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ be the number of nonzero coefficients $A_j(z)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1$, and let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $z_\theta = \nu e^{i\theta} \in D_R$ is such that $A_j(z_\theta) \neq 0$ for some $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, then, for all $\nu < r < R$,*

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \leqslant C \exp\left(n_c \int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} |A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)} dt\right), \tag{1.9}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant satisfying

$$C \leqslant (1+\varepsilon) \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} \left(\frac{|f^{(j)}(z_\theta)|}{(n_c)^j \max_{n=0,\cdots,k-1} |A_n(z_\theta)|^{j/(k-n)}}\right). \tag{1.10}$$

In this paper, we consider the nonlinear differential equation

$$(f^{(k)})^{n_k} + A_{k-1}(z)(f^{(k-1)})^{n_{k-1}} + \cdots + A_1(z)(f')^{n_1} + A_0(z)(f)^{n_0} = 0, \tag{1.11}$$

where coefficients are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, and $n_j \in R^+$ for all $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k$. We study it from two aspects. On one hand, we find sufficient conditions on the coefficients of the differential equation such that all solutions of (1.11) belong to the Q_K space. On the other hand, we find growth estimate for solutions of (1.11), if the coefficients belong to some analytic function spaces. More precisely, in section 2, the main results generalize Theorems A and B to the case of nonlinear differential equation (1.11). In section 3, we generalize Theorem C to the case of the nonlinear differential equation and give some estimates for solutions of (1.11) by the coefficients, and then we give the growth estimate of solutions as the coefficients belong to some given function spaces, which can be seen as the inverse of the results in Section 2.

In this paper, the letter C denotes a positive constant through the paper which may vary at each occurrence.

2. Constraints on coefficients

In this section, we generalize Theorems A and B to the case of nonlinear differential equation (1.11). For this purpose, we need the following result (see [20]).

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose K satisfies* (1.7) *or* (1.8)*. Then for any positive integer k and any $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ we have $f \in Q_K$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z) < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark. Note that by the proofs in [20], the Q_K norm and the area integral in Lemma 2.1 are comparable; that is, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of f, such that

$$C^{-1}\|f\|^2_{Q_K} \leqslant \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z) \leqslant C\|f\|^2_{Q_K}. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose $n_k \geqslant n_j > 1$ for all $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$. Let $1 < c < 3/2$ and let K satisfy* (1.7)*. There exists a positive constant α depending only on k, c, n_k and K, such that if the coefficients of* (1.11) *are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy the following*

$$\|A_j\|_{H^\infty_{k-j}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_j(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{n_k(k-j)} \leqslant \alpha,\ j = 1, \cdots, k-1, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|A_0\|_{H^\infty_{k-c}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_0(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{n_k(k-c)} \leqslant \alpha, \tag{2.4}$$

then all solutions of (1.11) *belong to the Q_K space.*

Proof. Let f be a non-trivial solution of (1.11), and let $f_\rho(z) = f(\rho z)$ and $A_{j,\rho}(z) = A_j(\rho z)$, where $1/2 \leqslant \rho < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(k)}_\rho(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
=\ &\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_{j,\rho}(z)\rho^{kn_k-jn_j}\left(f^{(j)}_\rho(z)\right)^{n_j}\right|^{2/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
\leqslant\ &k^{2/n_k}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |A_{j,\rho}(z)|^{2/n_k}|f^{(j)}_\rho(z)|^{2n_j/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant k^{2/n_k}\alpha^{2/n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^{2n_j/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
&\quad +k^{2/n_k}\alpha^{2/n_k}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho(z)|^{2n_0/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2c-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
&:= k^{2/n_k}\alpha^{2/n_k}(J_1+J_2). \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

For J_1, by Hölder inequality with the measure $(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^{2n_j/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
&\leqslant C\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\Big)^{n_j/n_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $J_1 \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^{2n_j/n_k}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K} > 1$, and it follows that

$$J_1 \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^2. \qquad (2.6)$$

For J_2, by Hölder inequality with measure $(1-|z|^2)^{2c-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho(z)|^{2n_0/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2c-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
&\leqslant C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2c-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\Big)^{n_0/n_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using the reasoning used in [16, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$J_2 \leqslant C(\|f\|_{Q_K}^2+|f(0)|^2)^{n_0/n_k} \leqslant C(\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^{2n_0/n_k}+|f(0)|^{2n_0/n_k}).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K} > 1$, for otherwise the conclusion is clearly established. It follows that

$$J_2 \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^2 + C|f(0)|^{2n_0/n_k}. \qquad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.5) with the estimates for J_1 and J_2, we have

$$(1-k^{2/n_k}\alpha^{2/n_k}C)\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^2 \leqslant k^{2/n_k}\alpha^{2/n_k}C|f(0)|^{2n_0/n_k}, \qquad (2.8)$$

where the constant C depends only on k, c, n_k and K. The conclusion $f \in Q_K$ follows by choosing α sufficiently small and letting $\rho \to 1$. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $n_k \geqslant n_j > 1$ for all $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$. Let the nondecreasing function K satisfy* (1.8)*. There exists a positive constant β depending only on k, n_k and K such that if the coefficients of* (1.11) *satisfy*

$$\|A_j\|_{H^\infty_{k-j}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_j(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{n_k(k-j)} \leqslant \beta, \quad j = 1, \cdots, k-1, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\|A_0\|_{H^\infty_{k-1}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |A_0(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{n_k(k-1)} \leqslant \beta, \tag{2.10}$$

then all solutions of (1.11) *belong to the Q_K space.*

Proof. Denote $f_\rho(z) = f(\rho z)$ and $A_{j,\rho}(z) = A_j(\rho z)$ for $1/2 \leqslant \rho < 1$. By Lemma 2.1 we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_\rho^{(k)}(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
=\ &\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_{j,\rho}(z)\rho^{kn_k-jn_j}\big(f_\rho^{(j)}(z)\big)^{n_j}\Big|^{2/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
\leqslant\ & k^{2/n_k}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}|A_{j,\rho}(z)|^{2/n_k}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^{2n_j/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2k-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
\leqslant\ & k^{2/n_k}\beta^{2/n_k}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^{2n_j/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
&+k^{2/n_k}\beta^{2/n_k}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho(z)|^{2n_0/n_k}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
:=\ & k^{2/n_k}\beta^{2/n_k}(T_1+T_2).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

For T_1, by Hölder inequality with the measure $(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^{2n_j/n_k}(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\\
&\leqslant C\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_\rho^{(j)}(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2j-2}K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\Big)^{n_j/n_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $T_1 \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^{2n_j/n_k}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K} > 1$, and it follows that

$$T_1 \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K}^2. \tag{2.12}$$

For T_2, by using the Hölder inequality with the measure $K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_\rho(z)|^{2n_0/n_k} K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z) \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_\rho(z)|^2 K(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)d\sigma(z)\right)^{n_0/n_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying the reasoning used in [16, Theorem 2.6] to T_2, we obtain the following

$$T_2 \leqslant \left(C\|f_\rho\|^2_{Q_K} + 2|f(0)|^2\right)^{n_0/n_k} \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|^{2n_0/n_k}_{Q_K} + 2^{n_0/n_k}|f(0)|^{2n_0/n_k}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|f_\rho\|_{Q_K} > 1$, for otherwise the conclusion is clearly established. This yields that

$$T_2 \leqslant C\|f_\rho\|^2_{Q_K} + 2^{n_0/n_k}|f(0)|^{2n_0/n_k}. \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$(1-k^{2/n_k}\beta^{2/n_k}C)\|f_\rho\|^2_{Q_K} \leqslant 2^{n_0/n_k}k^{2/n_k}\beta^{2/n_k}|f(0)|^{2n_0/n_k},$$

where the constant C depends only on k, n_k and K. The conclusion $f \in Q_K$ follows by choosing β sufficiently small and letting $\rho \to 1$. The proof is complete. □

3. Constraints on the solutions

In this section, we find the growth estimate for the solutions of (1.11) if the coefficients of (1.11) belong to some analytic function spaces. First, we extend Herold's comparison theorem in [11, Satz 1] or [7, Theorem H] to the case of nonlinear differential equation.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $n_0 > 1$, $a \in [0,1)$. Let $A_j(x)$, $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$ be complex valued functions defined on $[a,1)$. Let $E \subset [a,1)$ be a finite set of points, and let $B_j(x)$, $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$ be real valued non-negative functions such that $|A_{k-j}(x)| \leqslant n_0^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)$ for all $x \in [a,1)\backslash E$ and $j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. Moreover, let $|A_j(x)|$ be continuous for all $x \in [a,1)\backslash E$. If $v(x)$ is a solution of the differential equation*

$$(v^{(k)}(x))^{n_0} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} A_{k-j}(x)(v^{(k-j)}(x))^{n_0} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

and $u(x)$ satisfies

$$u^{(k)}(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{k-j}(x)u^{(k-j)}(x) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

on $[a,1)\backslash E$*, where* $|v^{(k-j)}(a)|^{n_0} \leqslant u^{(k-j)}(a)$ *for all* $j = 1,\cdots,k$*, then*

$$|v^{(j)}(x)|^{n_0} \leqslant n_0^{k-j} u^{(j)}(x)$$

on $[a,1)\backslash E$ *for all* $j = 0,1,\cdots,k$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, for convenience this Lemma is proved by taking $n_0 = 2$ and $a = 0$ such that $|v^{(k-j)}(0)|^{n_0} \leqslant u^{(k-j)}(0)$ for all $j = 1,\cdots,k$.

After some calculations, we have

$$|v^{(k-j)}(x)| \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{j} |v^{(k-m)}(0)| \frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |v^{(k)}(s)| ds, \tag{3.3}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |v^{(k-j)}(x)|^2 &\leqslant 2^j \sum_{m=1}^{j} |v^{(k-m)}(0)|^2 \left| \frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \right|^2 + 2^j \int_0^x \left| \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \right|^2 |v^{(k)}(s)|^2 ds \\ &\leqslant 2^j \sum_{m=1}^{j} |v^{(k-m)}(0)|^2 \frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + 2^j \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |v^{(k)}(s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

By (3.1) we have

$$|v^{(k)}(x)|^2 \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} |A_{k-j}(x)| |v^{(k-j)}|^2,$$

which, together with (3.4), shows

$$\begin{aligned} |v^{(k)}(x)|^2 &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} |A_{k-j}(x)| |v^{(k-j)}(x)|^2 \\ &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^j |A_{k-j}(x)| \sum_{m=1}^{j} |v^{(k-m)}(0)|^2 \frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^j |A_{k-j}(x)| \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |v^{(k)}(s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, similar to (3.3) we have

$$u^{(k-j)}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{j} u^{(k-m)}(0) \frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} u^{(k)}(s) ds, \tag{3.6}$$

and so

$$2^j u^{(k-j)}(x) = 2^j \sum_{m=1}^{j} u^{(k-m)}(0) \frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + 2^j \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} u^{(k)}(s) ds. \tag{3.7}$$

Furthermore, taking (3.7) into (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(k)}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{k-j}(x)u^{(k-j)}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)2^{j}u^{(k-j)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)2^{j}\sum_{m=1}^{j} u^{(k-m)}(0)\frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)2^{j}\int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}u^{(k)}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then by (3.5) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |v^{(k)}(x)|^2 - u^{(k)}(x) \\ \leqslant\ & \sum_{j=1}^{k} |A_{k-j}(x)||v^{(k-j)}(x)|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{k-j}(x)u^{(k-j)}(x) \\ =\ & \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{j}\Big(|A_{k-j}(x)|\sum_{m=1}^{j}|v^{(k-m)}(0)|^2\frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} - 2^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)\sum_{m=1}^{j}u^{(k-m)}(0)\frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!}\Big) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{j}\Big(|A_{k-j}(x)|\int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}|v^{(k)}(s)|^2ds - 2^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)\int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}u^{(k)}(s)ds\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|A_{k-j}(x)| \leqslant 2^{-j}B_{k-j}(x)$$

for all $x \in [a,1)\backslash E$ and $j = 1,2,\cdots,k$, and $|v^{(k-j)}(0)|^2 \leqslant u^{(k-j)}(0)$ for all $j = 1,\cdots,k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |v^{(k)}(x)|^2 - u^{(k)}(x) \\ \leqslant\ & \sum_{j=1}^{k} |A_{k-j}(x)||v^{(k-j)}(x)|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{k-j}(x)u^{(k-j)}(x) \\ \leqslant\ & \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{k-j}(x)\int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}(|v^{(k)}(s)|^2 - u^{(k)}(s))ds \\ =\ & \int_0^x \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{(x-s)^{j-1}B_{k-j}(x)}{(j-1)!}\Big)(|v^{(k)}(s)|^2 - u^{(k)}(s))ds \\ :=\ & \int_0^x R(x,s)(|v^{(k)}(s)|^2 - u^{(k)}(s))ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$R(x,s)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{(x-s)^{j-1}B_{k-j}(x)}{(j-1)!}.$$

By (3.9) and using the iteration we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v^{(k)}(x)|^2-u^{(k)}(x)\\
\leqslant\ &\int_0^x R(x,s)(|v^{(k)}(s)|^2-u^{(k)}(s))ds\\
\leqslant\ &\int_0^x R(x,s)\int_0^s R(s,s_1)(|v^{(k)}(s_1)|^2-u^{(k)}(s_1))ds_1ds\\
\leqslant\ &\cdots\\
\leqslant\ &\int_0^x R(x,s)\int_0^s\cdots\int_0^{s_{m-1}} R(s_{m-1},s_m)(|v^{(k)}(s_m)|^2-u^{(k)}(s_m))ds_m\cdots ds_1ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For a fixed point $x\in[0,1)$, it is easy to see that

$$C(x):=\int_0^x R^{m+1}(x,s_m)\Big||v^{(k)}(s_m)|^2-u^{(k)}(s_m)\Big|ds_m$$

is bounded about the fixed point $x\in[0,1)$, then by $B_{k-j}(x)\geqslant 0$ for all $x\in[0,1)\backslash E$ and $j=1,\cdots,k$, and $R(x,s)=0$ for $0<x<s<1$, we have

$$|v^{(k)}(x)|^2-u^{(k)}(x)\leqslant\frac{1}{m!}\int_0^x R^{m+1}(x,s_m)\Big||v^{(k)}(s_m)|^2-u^{(k)}(s_m)\Big|ds_m=\frac{C(x)}{m!}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $C(x)/m!\to 0$ as $m\to+\infty$, we know the left side of (3.10) can be arbitrary small, and hence $|v^{(k)}(x)|^2\leqslant u^{(k)}(x)$ on $[0,1)\backslash E$. Furthermore by (3.4) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|v^{(k-j)}(x)|^2-2^ju^{(k-j)}(x)\\
=\ &2^j\sum_{m=1}^{j}\frac{x^{j-m}}{(j-m)!}\Big(|v^{(k-m)}(0)|^2-u^{(k-m)}(0)\Big)\\
&+2^j\int_0^x\frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}\Big(|v^{(k)}(s)|^2-u^{(k)}(s)\Big)ds\\
\leqslant\ &2^j\int_0^x\frac{(x-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}\Big(|v^{(k)}(s)|^2-u^{(k)}(s)\Big)ds.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Applying $|v^{(k)}(x)|^2\leqslant u^{(k)}(x)$ on $[0,1)\backslash E$ to (3.11) we have

$$|v^{(j)}(x)|^2\leqslant 2^{k-j}u^{(j)}(x)$$

for all $x \in [0,1)\backslash E$ and $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$. Combining the estimates for $j = k$ and $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, the conclusion of Lemma 3.1 follows, and the proof is complete. □

Now, we generalize Theorem 5.1 in [7] to the case of nonlinear differential equation, and we give the Theorem 3.2 below. In fact, let us replace $\nu < r < R$ in [7, Theorem 5.1] with $\nu < r < 1$. Taking $\varrho = (1+r)/2$, then $\nu < r < \varrho < 1$ and let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Thus

$$h_\theta(x) := \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 |A_j(xe^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}$$

is Riemann integrable on $[\nu, \varrho]$. So there exists a partition $P = \{\nu = x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n = \varrho\}$ of $[\nu, \varrho]$ such that $x_j \neq r$ for all $j = 0, \cdots, n$, and

$$U(P, h_\theta) - \int_\nu^\varrho h_\theta(s)ds < \varepsilon_0,$$

where $U(P, h_\theta)$ is the upper Riemann sum of h_θ corresponding to the partition P. Let

$$g_\theta(t) = n_c \cdot \sup_{x_j \leqslant x \leqslant x_{j+1}} h_\theta(x), \quad x_j \leqslant x \leqslant x_{j+1}, j = 0, \cdots, n-1, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\delta_j := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A_j(z) \equiv 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad j = 0, \cdots, k-1,$$

$$C_0 := \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} \left(\frac{|f^{(j)}(z_\theta)|^{n_0}}{g_\theta(\nu)^j} \right)$$

and

$$(v^{(k)})^{n_0} + p_{k-1}(t)(v^{(k-1)})^{n_0} + \cdots + p_1(t)(v')^{n_0} + p_0(t)(v)^{n_0} = 0,$$

where $n_0 > 1$ and $p_j(t) := e^{in_0(k-j)\theta} A_j(te^{i\theta})$ for $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$. Then we get

$$|p_j(t)| = |A_j(te^{i\theta})| \leqslant n_0^{-(k-j)} (1/n_c) g_\theta(t)^{k-j} \delta_j.$$

Now let us replace these new quantities and notations with the corresponding ones used in [7, Theorem 5.1], and then by applying the same reasoning used in [7, Theorem 5.1] and Lemma 3.1 above we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let f be a solution of* (1.11) *with $n_j = n_0 > 1$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$, let n_c be the number of nonzero coefficients $A_j(z)$ for $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, and let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $z_\theta = \nu e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $A_j(z_\theta) \neq 0$ for some $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, then for all $\nu < r < 1$,*

$$|f(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant C \exp\left(n_c \int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 |A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)} dt \right), \tag{3.13}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant satisfying

$$C \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n_0^k \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\left(\frac{|f^{(j)}(z_\theta)|^{n_0}}{(n_c)^j \max_{n=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0^j |A_n(z_\theta)|^{j/(k-n)}}\right).$$

By reviewing the reasoning of Theorem 3.2 above, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let f be a solution of (1.11) with $n_j = n_0 > 1$ for $j = 1,\cdots,k$, let n_c be the number of nonzero coefficients $A_j(z)$ for $j = 0,\cdots,k-1$, and let $\theta \in [0,2\pi)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $z_\theta = \nu e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfies $A_j(z_\theta) \neq 0$ for some $j = 0,\cdots,k-1$, then for $r \in [\nu,1)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}|f^{(j)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant & C\left(\sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2}\left(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(xe^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}\right)\right)^j \\ & \times \exp\left(n_c\int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}dt\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

for $j = 0,\cdots,k$, and $C > 0$ is a constant satisfying

$$C \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n_c^j n_0^{k-j} \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\left(\frac{|f^{(j)}(z_\theta)|^{n_0}}{(n_c)^j \max_{n=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0^j |A_n(z_\theta)|^{j/(k-n)}}\right).$$

Proof. For $r \in [\nu,(1+r)/2]\backslash P$, it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2 that

$$|f^{(j)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant C(g_\theta(r))^j \exp\left(n_c\int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}dt\right), \tag{3.15}$$

where $P = \{\nu = x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n = (1+r)/2\}$ is a partition of $[\nu,(1+r)/2]$ as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2, and

$$C \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n_0^{k-j} \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\left(\frac{|f^{(j)}(z_\theta)|^{n_0}}{(n_c)^j \max_{n=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0^j |A_n(z_\theta)|^{j/(k-n)}}\right).$$

By (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_\theta(r) = n_c \cdot \sup_{x_j\leqslant x\leqslant x_{j+1}} h_\theta(x) &\leqslant n_c \cdot \sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2} h_\theta(x) \\ &= n_c \cdot \sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2}\left(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(xe^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for $x_j \leqslant r \leqslant x_{j+1}$, $j = 0,\cdots,n-1$. Thus taking (3.16) into (3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f^{(j)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant & C\left(\sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2}\left(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(xe^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}\right)\right)^j \\ & \times \exp\left(n_c\int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}dt\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for $j = 0, \cdots, k$. Since $r \in [\nu, 1)$ is arbitrary, the conclusion follows and the proof is complete. □

By Theorem 3.2, we get the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose* $0 \leqslant s < 1$. *Let all coefficients* $A_j(z)$ *of* (1.11) *with* $n_j = n_0 > 1$ *for* $j = 1, \cdots, k$, *belong to the space* H_s^∞, *let* n_c *be the number of nonzero coefficients* $A_j(z)$, $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$ *and let* $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *If* $z_\theta = \nu e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ *satisfies* $A_j(z_\theta) \neq 0$ *for some* $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, *then all solutions of* (1.11) *belong to the* H^∞ *space.*

Proof. Since the conditions in Theorem 3.4 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.2, all solutions of (1.11) with $n_j = n_0 > 1$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$ have the estimate (3.13). In addition, since all coefficients of (1.11) belong to H_s^∞, we have

$$|A_j(z)| \leqslant \|A_j\|_{H_s^\infty}/(1-|z|^2)^s, \quad \text{for } j = 0, \cdots, k-1.$$

Take $L = max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\{\|A_j\|_{H_s^\infty}\}$. From (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} &\leqslant C \exp\left(n_c \int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 |A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)} dt\right) \\ &\leqslant C \exp\left(n_c \int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 L^{1/(k-j)} (1-t^2)^{-s/(k-j)} dt\right). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, taking $L = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} &\leqslant C \exp\left(n_c \int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 (1-t^2)^{-s/(k-j)} dt\right) \\ &\leqslant C \exp\left(n_c n_0 \int_\nu^r (1-t^2)^{-s} dt\right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Thus $f \in H^\infty$, and the conclusion follows. The proof is complete. □

By Corollary 3.3 we have the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose* $0 < s < \infty$. *Let all the coefficients* $A_j(z)$ *of* (1.11) *with* $n_j = n_0 > 1$ *for* $j = 1, \cdots, k$, *belong to the Bloch space* $\mathfrak{B}$. *Let* $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* n_c *be the number of nonzero coefficients* $A_j(z)$, $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$. *If* $z_\theta = \nu e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ *satisfies* $A_j(z_\theta) \neq 0$ *for some* $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, *then all solutions of* (1.11) *belong to the space* $\cap_{0<s<\infty} \mathfrak{B}^s$.

Proof. If the coefficients of (1.11) with $n_j = n_0 > 1$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$, belong to the Bloch space $\mathfrak{B}$, then (3.14) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant & C\Big(\sup_{\nu \leqslant x \leqslant (1+r)/2} \Big(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 |A_j(xe^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}\Big)\Big) \\ & \times \exp\left(n_c \int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0 |A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)} dt\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where C is a constant satisfying

$$C \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n_c n_0^{k-1} \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\left(\frac{|f^{(j)}(z_\theta)|^{n_0}}{(n_c)^j \max_{n=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0^j |A_n(z_\theta)|^{j/(k-n)}}\right).$$

Since $A_j \in \mathfrak{B}$ for $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, we have

$$|A_j(z)| \leqslant (1/2)\|A_j\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \log((1+|z|)/(1-|z|)),\ \ j = 0, \cdots, k-1.$$

Set $M := max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\{\|A_j\|_{\mathfrak{B}}\}$. Then (3.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|f'(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant & C\Big(\sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2}\Big(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(xe^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}\Big)\Big) \\
& \times \exp\Big(n_c\int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0|A_j(te^{i\theta})|^{1/(k-j)}dt\Big) \\
\leqslant & C\Big(\sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2}\Big(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0\Big(\frac{M}{2}\log\frac{1+x}{1-x}\Big)^{1/(k-j)}\Big)\Big) \\
& \times \exp\Big(n_c\int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0\Big(\frac{M}{2}\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/(k-j)}dt\Big) \\
\leqslant & C\Big(\sup_{\nu\leqslant x\leqslant(1+r)/2}\Big(\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0\Big(\frac{M}{2}\log\frac{3+r}{1-r}\Big)^{1/(k-j)}\Big)\Big) \\
& \times \exp\Big(n_c\int_\nu^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1} n_0\Big(\frac{M}{2}\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/(k-j)}dt\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, taking $r \geqslant (e-1)/(e+1)$, denoted by c_0, and $M = 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0} \leqslant C\Big(n_0\log\frac{3+r}{1-r}\Big)\exp\Big(n_c n_0\int_0^r \max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\Big(\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/(k-j)}dt\Big) \\
= & C\Big(n_0\log\frac{3+r}{1-r}\Big)\exp\Big(n_c n_0\Big(\Big(\int_0^{c_0}+\int_{c_0}^r\Big)\max_{j=0,\cdots,k-1}\Big(\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/(k-j)}dt\Big)\Big) \\
= & C\Big(n_0\log\frac{3+r}{1-r}\Big)\exp\Big(n_c n_0\Big(\int_0^{c_0}\Big(\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/k}dt+\int_{c_0}^r\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}dt\Big)\Big) \\
\leqslant & C\log(1/(1-r)). \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking any $s \in (0,\infty)$, multiplying $(1-r^2)^{n_0 s}$ on both sides of (3.20) and taking $\sup_{re^{i\theta}\in\mathbb{D}}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{re^{i\theta}\in\mathbb{D}}|f'(re^{i\theta})|^{n_0}(1-r^2)^{n_0 s} \leqslant C\sup_{re^{i\theta}\in\mathbb{D}}(1-r^2)^{n_0 s}\log(1/(1-r)) < \infty,$$

which implies that $f \in \mathfrak{B}^s$. Since $s \in (0,\infty)$ is arbitrary, the conclusion follows. □

Finally, we give another estimate for solutions of (1.11) with $n_k \geqslant n_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$ and $n_k \geqslant 1$ and these conditions are weaker than that in Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose the positive real number $n_k \geqslant n_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$ and $n_k \geqslant 1$ in* (1.11)*. Let f be a solution of* (1.11)*. Then for $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, we have*

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{k} |f^{(k-m)}(0)| \frac{r^{k-m}}{(k-m)!} + \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i(s) \Big)^{1/n_k} ds,$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i(s)$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1)$, dependent on k, A_{k-j} for $j = 1, \cdots, k$, n_j for $j = 0, \cdots, k$, and the initial values $f^{(j)}(0)$ for $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, and the path of integration is a line segment in $\mathbb{D}$ joining origin 0 and z.

Proof. Let us integrate $f^{(k)}$ for j times from origin 0 to z along the line segment in $\mathbb{D}$ joining 0 and z, and direct computation gives

$$|f^{(k-j)}(re^{i\theta})| \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{j} |f^{(k-m)}(0)| \frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})| ds. \quad (3.21)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k-j)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_{k-j}} \leqslant & n_{k-j}^{j} \sum_{m=1}^{j} |f^{(k-m)}(0)|^{n_{k-j}} \Big(\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \\ & + n_{k-j}^{j} \Big(\int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})| ds \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k$. By (1.11) we have

$$|f^{(k)}|^{n_k} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| |f^{(k-j)}|^{n_{k-j}},$$

which, together with (3.22), yields

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_k} &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| |f^{(k-j)}|^{n_{k-j}} \\ &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{k-j}^{j} |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| \sum_{m=1}^{j} |f^{(k-m)}(0)|^{n_{k-j}} \Big(\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{k-j}^{j} |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| \Big(\int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})| ds \Big)^{n_{k-j}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that for $a \geqslant 0, b \geqslant 0$, we have $ab \leqslant a^p/p + b^q/q$ for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Taking $p = n_k/n_{k-j} \geqslant 1$, and $q = n_k/(n_k - n_{k-j})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| \Big(\int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})| ds \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \\ \leqslant \quad & \frac{n_k - n_{k-j}}{n_k} (n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})|)^{n_k/(n_k - n_{k-j})} + \frac{n_{k-j}}{n_k} \Big(\int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})| ds \Big)^{n_k}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_k} &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^k |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| |f^{(k-j)}|^{n_{k-j}} \\ &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^k n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| \sum_{m=1}^j |f^{(k-m)}(0)|^{n_{k-j}} \Big(\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n_k - n_{k-j}}{n_k} (n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})|)^{n_k/(n_k - n_{k-j})} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n_{k-j}}{n_k} \Big(\int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})| ds \Big)^{n_k}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_k} &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^k \Big(n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| \sum_{m=1}^j |f^{(k-m)}(0)|^{n_{k-j}} \Big(\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \\ &\quad + \frac{n_k - n_{k-j}}{n_k} (n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})|)^{n_k/(n_k - n_{k-j})} \Big) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n_{k-j}}{n_k} r^{n_k - 1} \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{n_k(j-1)}}{((j-1)!)^{n_k}} |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})|^{n_k} ds \\ &:= H(r) + \int_0^r L(r,s) |f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})|^{n_k} ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(r) = \sum_{j=1}^k & \Big(n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})| \sum_{m=1}^j |f^{(k-m)}(0)|^{n_{k-j}} \Big(\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} \Big)^{n_{k-j}} \\ & + \frac{n_k - n_{k-j}}{n_k} (n_{k-j}^j |A_{k-j}(re^{i\theta})|)^{n_k/(n_k - n_{k-j})} \Big) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L(r,s)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{n_{k-j}}{n_k}\frac{(r-s)^{n_k(j-1)}r^{n_k-1}}{((j-1)!)^{n_k}}.$$

By (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_k}&\leqslant H(r)+\int_0^r L(r,s)|f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})|^{n_k}ds\\&\leqslant H(r)+\int_0^r L(r,s)H(s)ds\\&\quad+\int_0^r L(r,s)\int_0^s L(s,s_1)|f^{(k)}(s_1e^{i\theta})|^{n_k}ds_1ds\\&:=\mathcal{H}_0(r)+\mathcal{H}_1(r)+\mathcal{L}_1(r),\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0(r)=H(r)$, $\mathcal{H}_1(r)=\int_0^r L(r,s)H(s)ds$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_1(r)=\int_0^r L(r,s)\int_0^s L(s,s_1)|f^{(k)}(s_1e^{i\theta})|^{n_k}ds_1ds$$

are the results of the first iteration by (3.23), and the n-th iteration gives

$$|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_k}\leqslant\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mathcal{H}_i(r)+\mathcal{L}_n(r).\tag{3.25}$$

For a fixed point $r\in[0,1)$, denote

$$S(r):=\max_{0\leqslant s\leqslant r}H(s),\ \ T(r):=\max_{0\leqslant r_1,r_2\leqslant r}L(r_1,r_2)\ \text{ and }\ M(r):=\max_{0\leqslant r_1\leqslant r}|f^{(k)}(r_1e^{i\theta})|^{n_k}.$$

Then for $n\geqslant 3$, by induction we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_n(r)&=\int_0^r L(r,s)\int_0^s L(s,s_1)\int_0^{s_1}\cdots\int_0^{s_{n-2}}L(s_{n-2},s_{n-1})H(s_{n-1})ds_{n-1}\cdots ds\\&\leqslant\frac{T^n(r)S(r)}{n!},\end{aligned}$$

and so $\Sigma_{i=0}^{n}\mathcal{H}_i(r)$ is uniformly convergent to $\Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_i(r)$ on any close set $[0,r]$. Hence $\Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_i(r)$ is a continuous function on $[0,1)$ and so $\Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{H}_i(r)$ is integrable on $[0,1)$. For $n\geqslant 1$, by induction we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_n(r)&=\int_0^r L(r,s)\int_0^s L(s,s_1)\int_0^{s_1}\cdots\int_0^{s_{n-1}}L(s_{n-1},s_n)|f^{(k)}(s_ne^{i\theta})|^{n_k}ds_n\cdots ds\\&\leqslant\frac{T^{n+1}(r)M(r)}{n!}\to 0,\ \text{ as } n\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Henceforth,

$$|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{n_k} \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i(r). \tag{3.26}$$

Taking (3.26) to (3.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|f^{(k-j)}(re^{i\theta})| &\leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{j} |f^{(k-m)}(0)|\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}|f^{(k)}(se^{i\theta})|ds \\ &\leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{j} |f^{(k-m)}(0)|\frac{r^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} + \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i(r)\Big)^{1/n_k} ds,\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k$. Therefore by taking $j = k$ we have

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{k} |f^{(k-m)}(0)|\frac{r^{k-m}}{(k-m)!} + \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i(s)\Big)^{1/n_k} ds.$$

The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgement: The first author is supported by NNSF of China (No. 11126284) and the scholarship awarded by China Scho1arship Council (No. [2014]7325). The second author is supported by NNSF of China (No. 11471143).

References

[1] D. Benbourenane and L. Sons, On global solutions of complex differential equations in the unit disk, *Complex Var.* **49** (2004), 913–925.

[2] T. Cao and H. Yi, The growth of solutions of linear differential equations with coefficients of iterated order in the unit disc, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **319** (2006), 278–294.

[3] Z. Chen and K. Shon, The growth of solutions of differential equations with coefficients of small growth in the disc, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **297** (2004), 285–304.

[4] I. Chyzhykov, G. Gundersen and J. Heittokangas, Linear differential equations and logarithmic derivative estimates, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **86** (2003), 735–754.

[5] G. Gundersen, E. Steinbart and S. Wang, The possible orders of solutions of linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1985), 1225–1247.

[6] J. Heittokangas, On complex differential equations in the unit disc, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.* **122** (2000), 1–54.

[7] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Growth estimates for solutions of linear complex differential equations, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* **29** (2004), 233–246.

[8] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Fast growing solutions of linear differential equations in the unit disc, *Results Math.* **49** (2006), 265–278.

[9] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Linear differential equations with solutions in the Dirichlet type subspace of the Hardy space, *Nagoya Math. J.* **187** (2007), 91–113.
[10] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Linear differential equations with coefficients in weighted Bergman and Hardy spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), 1035–1055.
[11] H. Herold, Ein Vergleichssatz für komplexe lineare Differentialgleichungen, *Math. Z.* **126** (1972), 91–94.
[12] E. Hille, *Ordinary Differential Equations in the Complex Domain*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience (John Wiley & Sons), New York-London-sydney, 1976.
[13] R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Linear differential equations in the unit disc with analytic solutions of finite order, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 1355-1363.
[14] R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Finite order solutions of linear differential equations in the unit disc, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **349** (2009), 43–54.
[15] I. Lain, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
[16] H. Li and H. Wulan, Linear differential equations with solutions in Q_K spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **375** (2011), 478–489.
[17] Ch. Pommerenke, On the mean growth of the solutions of complex linear differential equations in the disk, *Complex Var.* **1** (1982), 23–38.
[18] J. Rättyä, Linear differential equations with solutions in Hardy spaces, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **52** (2007), 785–795.
[19] H. Wulan and P. Wu, Characterizations of Q_T spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **254** (2001), 484–497.
[20] H. Wulan and K. Zhu, Q_K spaces via higher order derivatives, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **38** (2008), 329–350.
[21] K. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **23** (1993), 1143–1177.

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China

E-mail address: lihao20102010@163.com(H. Li)

Institute of Systems Engineering, Macau University of Science and Technology, Avenida Wai Long, Taipa, Macau.

E-mail address: jyulsx@163.com (S. Li)